

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,499	07/14/2006	Laurent Blonde	PF030116	9875
24:09 75:00 12:28:20:09 Robort D. Shedd, Patent Operations THOMSON Licensing LLC P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08:543-5312			EXAMINER	
			HOWARD, RYAN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/564,499 BLONDE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit RYAN HOWARD 2878 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 October 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11-14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 January 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) doi: objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTC/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/564,499 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/09/2009 has been entered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: '18' and '20', figure 1; 't₁₁' and 't₁₂', figures 3 and 4 Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,499 Page 3

Art Unit: 2878

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (a) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action: Application/Control Number: 10/564,499 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

or such treaty in the English language

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Morgan (US 6,567,134 B1).

Regarding claim 9, Morgan teaches means of periodic generation of successive coloured beams (M-R, Y-G, C-B, figure 7) taking successively at each period a plurality of determined primary colours (the optical mixture of M-R, Y-G and C-B respectively; and

A means of modulation of each of said coloured beams (600, figure 6) for generating during a determined duration an image to be displayed in each of said determined primary colours (column 6 lines 1-4),

Wherein each of said determined primary colours is obtained as a result of at least two distinct colours (M-R; Y-G; C-B) taken successively by the colour beam during the determined duration of modulation of the coloured beam for generating an image in the primary color (column 5 lines 10-21).

Regarding claim 10, Morgan teaches each of the coloured beams takes at least one of the distinct colours for a variable duration so as to vary the determined colour (column 5 lines 54-58; column 10 lines 54-61).

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/564,499

Art Unit: 2878

 Claims 11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 11, Prior art does not teach a first coloured wheel and a second coloured wheel successively traversed by a luminous beam, each coloured wheel carrying a plurality of coloured filtering sectors and being driven with a substantially identical angular speed and wherein each of said determined primary colours is obtained as a result of at least two distinct colours taken successively by the colour beam during the determined duration.

Regarding claim 13, Prior art does not teach the means of periodic generation of successive coloured beams comprises a first and a second identical coloured wheel successively traversed by a luminous beam, each coloured wheel carrying at least three coloured filtering sectors of respective colours yellow, magenta and cyan and being driven with in rotation.

Claims 12 and 14 contain allowable subject matter inasmuch as they depend from claims 11 and 13 respectively.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 06/09/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding Applicant's arguments that Morgan fails to teach or disclose Application/Control Number: 10/564,499

Art Unit: 2878

each of the determined primary colours is obtained as a result of at least two distinct colours taken successively by the colour beam during a determined duration (Applicant's arguments page 7), Examiner respectfully disagrees. The primary colours taken at each period are the sequential optical mixture of M-R; Y-G; and C-B, respectively, each period is the M-R period, the Y-G period, and the C-B period, therefore, the color produced is 'obtained as a result of at least two distinct colours taken successively by the colour beam during the determined duration.

Regarding Applicant's arguments that, 'there is no explicit evidence in Morgan that this modulation of each of the coloured beams actually generates, during a determined duration, an image to be displayed in each of the primary colours,' (page 7); Examiner respectfully disagrees. This feature is taught in column 6 lines 1-12.

Regarding Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 10 (page 8), Examiner respectfully disagrees. Morgan teaches the modulation element can vary the pixel-on-time as needed by modifying the driving waveform (column 10 lines 54-61). This therefore allows the beam to take on any of the distinct colors for a variable duration so as to vary the determined color.

Applicant's arguments, see pages 8-9, filed 6/09/2009, with respect to claim 10 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 11 and 12 has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/564,499

Art Unit: 2878

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RYAN HOWARD whose telephone number is (571)270-5358. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, GEORGIA EPPS can be reached on (571)272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/RYAN HOWARD/ Examiner, Art Unit 2878 12/15/2009

/Georgia Y Epps/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2878